01			
02			
03			
04			
05			
06			
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
08	AT S		
09	FRED STEPHENS,	)	LEAD CASE NO. C12-1067-RAJ-MAT
10	Plaintiff,	)	LEAD CASE NO. C12-1007-RAJ-MAT
11	V.	)	ORDER RE: MOTION TO AMEND
12	TODD FREDRICKSON, et al.,	)	
13	Defendants.	)	
14		,	
15	Plaintiff proceeds <i>pro se</i> in this civil ri	ghts	action. The Court recently consolidated this
16	lead case, C12-1067, with member case C12-1898. (Dkt. 53.) Now before the Court is		
17	plaintiff's Motion to Amend Consolidated Cause CV-1898-RAJ-MAT. (Dkt. 59.) Having		
18	considered the motion, along with the remainder of the record, the Court does hereby find and		
19	ORDER as follows:		
20	(1) The Court finds plaintiff's intentions unclear. For example, it appears, but is		
21	not entirely clear, that plaintiff seeks to amend only the complaint in member case C12-1898.		
22	Also, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 15, a party seeking to amend "must indicate on the proposed		
	ORDER RE: MOTION TO AMEND PAGE -1		

01

02

04

05

07

09

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PAGE -2

ORDER RE: MOTION TO AMEND

amended pleading how it differs from the pleading that it amends by bracketing or striking through the text to be deleted and underlining or highlighting the text to be added." LCR 15. 03 Plaintiff does not, either in his motion to amend or in the proposed amended complaint, identify his proposed amendments. For these reasons, plaintiff's motion to amend (Dkt. 59) is DENIED without prejudice to the submission of a revised motion to amend and proposed 06 amended complaint complying with LCR 15. Further, should plaintiff again seek to amend, he is directed to submit a single consolidated amended complaint governing both lead case C12-1067 and member case 08 C12-1898. These matters were consolidated given their minimal differences. See C12-1898 10 (Dkt. 7). The Court finds that a single operative complaint would be preferable to the current Second Amended Complaint/Complaint currently pending in this action. (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to the parties and to the Hon. Richard A. Jones. DATED this 17th day of May, 2013. United States Magistrate Judge